

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/691,017	1	10/18/2000	Haruo Kamei	550718.077	4521	
27805	7590	08/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMPSO	N HINE	L.L.P.		OJINI, EZIAMA	RA ANTHONY	
		PLAZA , N.E.		A DOLLD WO	DANCE MILLIONE	
10 WEST SECOND STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON OH 45402				3723		

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			JAN				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	144				
Advisory Action	09/691,017	KAMEI, HARUO					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anthony Ojini	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app : (1) a timely filed amendment wl beal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tin	lication. A proper repl hich places the applica	ly to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m		and in the final asiantian wh	siahawaa ia lataa da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponly CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period	oire later than SIX MONTHS from the ma NAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 tood of extension and the corresponding a	ailing date of the final reject THE FINAL REJECTION. CFR 1.136(a) and the apport The apport of the fee. The app	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	Office later than three months after the	ply originally set in the final mailing date of the final reje	l Office action; or ection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 August 2004</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			orth in				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claim	ıs.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed	amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NC	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which wer	re newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 7,9,11,13,14,16-27.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disapproved t	y the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700 8/19/04

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the expression "for spray application to a surface of a work" is considered anew issues that would require further consideration and search.